



Predictability of pathogen host range
in biological control of weeds

Jane Barton*

***Contractor to Landcare Research
New Zealand**

Why aren't pathogens used more widely for weed control?

- Worldwide, pathogens have only been introduced to 11 countries (Arg, Aus, Chile, China, Fiji, India, NZ, PNG, SAf, Tahiti, USA)
- No evidence of pathogen damage in the field that was not predicted by HR testing. Barton, J. (2004) *Biological Control* 31: 99-122.

Methods

- **List all pathogens ever used for biocontrol of weeds**
- **Find info. on pre-release host range testing**
- **Find info. on their behaviour in the field after release ('pers. comm.')**
- **Compare the two to determine how accurate pre-release predictions have been to-date**

Results (2010)

- 37 projects worldwide (each project = intro. of 1 pathogen to 1 country for 1 weed complex)
- 28 spp. of pathogens (all fungi) released
- > 28 spp. of weeds targeted
- Pathogens from 16 countries
- Most pathogens have established, spread, and had at least some impact on their target

Results (2010):

Non-target damage in the field

- **Out of those 37 projects:**
 - 2 projects with non-target damage in out-door field plots
 - 2 projects with predicted non-target damage in the field
 - 33 projects with no non-target damage in the field at all!

Target weed: Musk thistle



- *Carduus nutans* ssp. *leiophyllus*
(= *C. thoermeri*)
- Major weed of pastures & rangelands in the USA (competes with pasture)
- From Europe & Asia
- Control with herbicide not economically feasible

Puccinia carduorum

- Rust fungus (Uredinales: Pucciniaceae)
- Attacks *C. thoermeri* (and many other *Carduus* spp.)
- Causes lesions on leaves and reduces plant growth and seed production



Rust lesions on target weed in glasshouse. Image from Dr William L. Bruckart III, USDA-ARS-FDWSRU, Maryland, USA

Host range results: containment

- Rust applied to 63 spp. (all Asteraceae)
- Target weed only plant with severe symptoms,
- BUT, in greenhouse limited infection also on non-targets, including globe artichoke and native American thistles (same tribe as target)



Rust lesions on artichoke in glasshouse. Image from Dr William L. Bruckart III, USDA-ARS-FDWSRU, Maryland, USA

Host range: observations in the field

- In Eurasia the rust, the target weed, and globe artichoke all overlap in range
- Globe artichoke has not been recorded as a host there
- Field test needed due to contradiction between indoor and field observations



Image from
<http://www.hear.org/starr/hiplants/images/>

Host Range Results: Field

- Trial conducted over 2 years in area where eradication possible (Virginia)
- Tested globe artichoke (*Cynara scolymus*) + 10 spp. native American thistles (*Cirsium*)
- Only non-target damage = 1 pustule on 1/32 globe artichoke plants

Decision

- Concluded that “*P. carduorum* poses no threat to the non-target species tested”
- Rust in Virginia allowed to spread (1987)



Behaviour after release

- *P. carduorum* established in USA
- Has not been found on any non-target spp. in the field since release

Parthenium hysterophorus (Parthenium weed or false ragweed)



- Annual, herbaceous plant (Asteraceae)
- Origin = Neotropics
- Major weed in Australia (Qld.) and India
- Aggressively invasive
- Causes allergic responses, respiratory problems, and dermatitis in susceptible people

Puccinia melampodii (rust)

Host range results: containment

- Rust applied to 63 non-target species
- Caused symptoms on several non-target (but weedy) Asteraceous spp., and sunflower, in the glasshouse
- Decision made to release the rust in Australia (benefits > costs)



Host range: observations in the field

- However, prior to decision re. India, further tests (outdoors, in Australia) were done on close relatives grown commercially there
- Indian variety of marigold (*Calendula officinalis*) found to be quite susceptible
- *Puccinia melampodii* was not released in India (as discussed by M. Seier of CABI)

Behaviour after release

- *P. melampodii* released in Australia in 1999
- It has not been reported from any other non-target plants since release

Image = Target weed with *P. melampodii* pustules. Supplied by M. Seier (CABI).

Acacia saligna (Port Jackson willow)



- **Small tree (Fabaceae)**
- **From Western Australia**
- **Major weed in SAf**
- **Difficult to clear due to coppicing after fire or cutting**
- **Forms dense stands at the expense of native vegetation**
- **Can totally replace natural fynboss**

Image from <http://www.australianplants.com/images/acacia.saligna.jpg>

Acacia saligna with galls caused by *Uromycladium tepperianum*

- Gall rust (Uredinales: Pileolariaceae)
- Attacks *A. saligna* in Australia
- Causes galling on stems, branches, phyllodes and flowers and the formation of witches brooms on branches
- Severely affected plants more susceptible to drought



Host range results: containment

- Hosts included several *Acacia* spp. but evidence of species-specific strains
- Spores from galls on *A. saligna* applied to 24 species (23. spp. *Acacia* + 1 sp. *Paraserianthes*)
- Seedlings of both *A. pulchella* and *A. cyclops* (non-targets) developed abnormal galls after inoculation

Host range: observations in the field

- However, in Australia *A. pulchella* has not been recorded as a host of *U. tepperianum*
- *A. cyclops* has previously been recorded as a host, but this appears to happen only occasionally
- Rust released in South Africa in 1987

Behaviour after release

- Since release the rust has occasionally caused abnormal galls on *A. cyclops*
- As observed in Aus., this only occurs where heavily infected *A. saligna* and *A. cyclops* are growing in close proximity
- The galls do not spread and multiply on *A. cyclops*
- Behaving as predicted

Blackberry

(*Rubus fruticosus* agg.)



Image from <http://wildeherb.com>

- Common weed in many countries including Australia and New Zealand
- From Europe
- Grows and spreads vigorously
- Prickles problematic for grazing animals and humans



Phragmidium violaceum (rust)

Host range results: containment

- Agent chosen for Australian biocontrol project was a rust from Europe (*P. violaceum*)
- Rust applied to 51 non-target spp. (> 1 var)
- Caused symptoms on 15 *Rubus* spp. (> 1 var)
- 3 of 5 *Rubus* spp. native to NZ susceptible
- Decision made to release the rust in Australia 1991 (benefits > costs)
- Illegal release/s prior to legitimate (1984)

Behaviour after release

- *P. violaceum* found on native *Rubus* in NZ during surveys (2000-09) looking for non-target damage from pathogens that attack weeds there

see New Zealand Plant Protection (2009)
62: 41-49



Non-target damage in field (predicted)

- *P. violaceum* found on 2/135 *Rubus cissoides* plants examined (2005)
- Rust not found on another native that was equally susceptible in tests (*R. schmidelioides*)
- Damage minor and occurred where non-target species was growing beside heavily infected target weed



Overall results (2010): 37 Projects

- 18 cases where no damage predicted in HR testing and no damage observed in the field
- 1 case where there was no HR testing done specifically for that project (used overseas results)
- 18 cases (including the 4 case studies) where more species were damaged in HR testing than in the field



Overall results (2010): 37 Projects

- **No cases of unpredicted damage to a non-target species in the field**

Expanded host range found in glasshouse tests

- Phenomenon not unusual
- Disease results from combination of pathogen, host and environment
- Optimum environmental conditions for disease development used to create 'worst case scenario'
- Also, artificial conditions can 'predispose' non-target plants to attack

Use other info. to interpret glasshouse data

- Host use by pathogen in native range
- Severity of disease symptoms on non-target plants compared with targets
- Results of host range tests conducted outdoors (where feasible), either
 - In native range of pathogen
 - In other country where pathogen has already been released
 - In country proposed for release (if eradication possible)

Is it safe to use pathogens as classical biocontrol agents for weeds?

- **Yes!**
- **Introduction of exotic pathogens is (and should continue to be) a very safe and environmentally benign method of weed control**



Image: <http://greensungardens.wordpress.com>

Acknowledgements

- **Conference organisers (Honorarium)**
- **New Zealand Biosecurity Institute (Travel Award)**
- **Royal Society of New Zealand (Charles Fleming Fund Travel Award)**
- **Foundation for Research, Science and Technology NZ**
- **Landcare Research**
- **Researchers who provided information**